Madeleine Whybrow, Imperial College London

Supervisor: Prof. A. A. Ivanov

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

► Denoted M, the Monster group is the largest of the 26 sporadic groups in the classification of finite simple groups

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- ▶ Denoted M, the Monster group is the largest of the 26 sporadic groups in the classification of finite simple groups
- ▶ It was constructed by R. Griess in 1982 as Aut(V_M) where V_M is a 196 884
 dimensional, real, commutative, non-associative algebra known as the Griess or Monster algebra

- ▶ Denoted M, the Monster group is the largest of the 26 sporadic groups in the classification of finite simple groups
- ▶ It was constructed by R. Griess in 1982 as Aut(V_M) where V_M is a 196 884
 dimensional, real, commutative, non-associative algebra known as the Griess or Monster algebra
- \blacktriangleright The Monster group contains two conjugacy classes of involutions denoted 2A and 2B and $\mathbb{M}=\langle 2A\rangle$

- ► Denoted M, the Monster group is the largest of the 26 sporadic groups in the classification of finite simple groups
- It was constructed by R. Griess in 1982 as Aut(V_M) where V_M is a 196 884
 dimensional, real, commutative, non-associative algebra known as the Griess or Monster algebra
- \blacktriangleright The Monster group contains two conjugacy classes of involutions denoted 2A and 2B and $\mathbb{M}=\langle 2A\rangle$
- If t, s ∈ 2A then ts is of order at most 6 and belongs to one of nine conjugacy classes:

1A, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3C, 4A, 4B, 5A, 6A.

► In 1984, J. Conway showed that there exists a bijection ψ between the 2A involutions and certain idempotents in the Griess algebra called 2A-axes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

In 1984, J. Conway showed that there exists a bijection \u03c6 between the 2A involutions and certain idempotents in the Griess algebra called 2A-axes

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

▶ The 2A-axes generate the Griess algebra i.e. $V_{\mathbb{M}} = \langle \langle \psi(t) : t \in 2A \rangle \rangle$

- In 1984, J. Conway showed that there exists a bijection \u03c6 between the 2A involutions and certain idempotents in the Griess algebra called 2A-axes
- ▶ The 2A-axes generate the Griess algebra i.e. $V_{\mathbb{M}} = \langle \langle \psi(t) : t \in 2A \rangle \rangle$
- ▶ If $t, s \in 2A$ then the algebra $\langle \langle \psi(t), \psi(s) \rangle \rangle$ is called a dihedral subalgebra of $V_{\mathbb{M}}$ and has one of nine isomorphism types, depending on the conjugacy class of ts.

Example

Example

Suppose that $t, s \in 2A$ such that $ts \in 2A$ as well. Then the algebra

$$V := \langle \langle \psi(t), \psi(s) \rangle \rangle$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

is called the 2A dihedral algebra.

Example

Suppose that $t, s \in 2A$ such that $ts \in 2A$ as well. Then the algebra

 $V := \langle \langle \psi(t), \psi(s) \rangle \rangle$

is called the 2A dihedral algebra.

The algebra V also contains the axis $\psi(ts)$. In fact, it is of dimension 3:

 $V = \langle \psi(t), \psi(s), \psi(ts) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

 In 1992, R. Borcherds famously proved Conway and Norton's Monstrous Moonshine conjectures, which connect the Monster group to modular forms

- In 1992, R. Borcherds famously proved Conway and Norton's Monstrous Moonshine conjectures, which connect the Monster group to modular forms
- The central object in his proof is the Moonshine module, denoted V[#]. It belongs to a class of graded algebras know as vertex operator algebras, or VOA's

- In 1992, R. Borcherds famously proved Conway and Norton's Monstrous Moonshine conjectures, which connect the Monster group to modular forms
- The central object in his proof is the Moonshine module, denoted V[#]. It belongs to a class of graded algebras know as vertex operator algebras, or VOA's

▶ In particular, we have $Aut(V^{\#}) = M$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

If we take a vertex operator algebra

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

such that $V_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$ then V_2 is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra called a generalised Griess algebra

If we take a vertex operator algebra

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$$

such that $V_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$ then V_2 is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra called a generalised Griess algebra

In 1996, M. Miyamoto showed that there exist involutions τ_a ∈ Aut(V), now known as Miyamoto involutions, which are in bijection with idempotents a ∈ V₂ known as Ising vectors

If we take a vertex operator algebra

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$$

such that $V_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$ then V_2 is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra called a generalised Griess algebra

In 1996, M. Miyamoto showed that there exist involutions τ_a ∈ Aut(V), now known as Miyamoto involutions, which are in bijection with idempotents a ∈ V₂ known as Ising vectors

• If
$$V = V^{\#}$$
,

If we take a vertex operator algebra

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$$

such that $V_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$ then V_2 is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra called a generalised Griess algebra

In 1996, M. Miyamoto showed that there exist involutions τ_a ∈ Aut(V), now known as Miyamoto involutions, which are in bijection with idempotents a ∈ V₂ known as Ising vectors

• If
$$V = V^{\#}$$
, then $V_2 \cong V_{\mathbb{M}}$,

If we take a vertex operator algebra

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$$

such that $V_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$ then V_2 is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra called a generalised Griess algebra

In 1996, M. Miyamoto showed that there exist involutions τ_a ∈ Aut(V), now known as Miyamoto involutions, which are in bijection with idempotents a ∈ V₂ known as Ising vectors

▶ If $V = V^{\#}$, then $V_2 \cong V_{\mathbb{M}}$, the τ_a are the 2A involutions

If we take a vertex operator algebra

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$$

such that $V_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$ then V_2 is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra called a generalised Griess algebra

- In 1996, M. Miyamoto showed that there exist involutions τ_a ∈ Aut(V), now known as Miyamoto involutions, which are in bijection with idempotents a ∈ V₂ known as Ising vectors
- If V = V[#], then V₂ ≅ V_M, the τ_a are the 2A involutions and the ½a are the 2A axes.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

Theorem (S. Sakuma, 2007)

Any subalgebra of a generalised Griess algebra generated by two Ising vectors is isomorphic to a dihedral subalgebra of the Griess algebra.

 Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras
- ▶ **Definition:** A Majorana algebra *V* is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra such that

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras
- ▶ **Definition:** A Majorana algebra *V* is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra such that
 - $V = \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$ where A is a set of idempotents called Majorana axes

- Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras
- ▶ **Definition:** A Majorana algebra *V* is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra such that
 - $V = \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$ where A is a set of idempotents called Majorana axes
 - For each a ∈ A, we can construct an involution τ(a) ∈ Aut(V) called a Majorana involution

- Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras
- ▶ **Definition:** A Majorana algebra *V* is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra such that
 - $V = \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$ where A is a set of idempotents called Majorana axes
 - For each a ∈ A, we can construct an involution τ(a) ∈ Aut(V) called a Majorana involution

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• The algebra V obeys seven further axioms, which we omit here

- Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras
- ▶ **Definition:** A Majorana algebra *V* is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra such that
 - $V = \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$ where A is a set of idempotents called Majorana axes
 - For each a ∈ A, we can construct an involution τ(a) ∈ Aut(V) called a Majorana involution

- The algebra V obeys seven further axioms, which we omit here
- ► The Griess algebra V_M is an example of a Majorana algebra, with the 2A involutions and 2A axes corresponding to Majorana involutions and Majorana axes respectively

- Majorana Theory was introduced by A. A. Ivanov in 2009 as an axiomatisation of certain properties of generalised Griess algebras
- ▶ **Definition:** A Majorana algebra *V* is a real, commutative, non-associative algebra such that
 - $V = \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$ where A is a set of idempotents called Majorana axes
 - For each a ∈ A, we can construct an involution τ(a) ∈ Aut(V) called a Majorana involution
 - The algebra V obeys seven further axioms, which we omit here
- ► The Griess algebra V_M is an example of a Majorana algebra, with the 2A involutions and 2A axes corresponding to Majorana involutions and Majorana axes respectively
- Almost all known Majorana algebras occur as subalgebras of $V_{\mathbb{M}}$.

<□▶ < @▶ < @▶ < @▶ < @▶ < @ > @ < のQ @</p>

▶ Majorana algebras can also be studied as representations of certain groups

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

- ▶ Majorana algebras can also be studied as representations of certain groups
- **Definition** A Majorana representation of a finite group G is a tuple

$$\mathbf{R} = (G, T, V, \varphi, \psi)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Majorana algebras can also be studied as representations of certain groups
- **Definition** A Majorana representation of a finite group G is a tuple

$$\mathbf{R} = (G, T, V, \varphi, \psi)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where

• T is a G-stable set of generating involutions of G

- Majorana algebras can also be studied as representations of certain groups
- **Definition** A Majorana representation of a finite group G is a tuple

$$\mathbf{R} = (G, T, V, \varphi, \psi)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- T is a G-stable set of generating involutions of G
- V is a Majorana algebra

- Majorana algebras can also be studied as representations of certain groups
- **Definition** A Majorana representation of a finite group G is a tuple

$$\mathbf{R} = (G, T, V, \varphi, \psi)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- T is a G-stable set of generating involutions of G
- V is a Majorana algebra

•
$$\varphi$$
 is a homomorphism $G \to GL(V)$

- Majorana algebras can also be studied as representations of certain groups
- **Definition** A Majorana representation of a finite group G is a tuple

$$\mathbf{R} = (G, T, V, \varphi, \psi)$$

- ► T is a G-stable set of generating involutions of G
- V is a Majorana algebra
- φ is a homomorphism $G \to GL(V)$
- ▶ $\psi : T \to V \setminus \{0\}$ is an injective mapping such that $\psi(t^g) = \psi(t)^{\varphi(g)}$ and such that $\psi(t)$ is a Majorana axis for all $t \in T$.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?

• We now consider the Monster graph Γ , defined so that

 \blacktriangleright We now consider the Monster graph $\Gamma,$ defined so that

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

 \blacktriangleright We now consider the Monster graph $\Gamma,$ defined so that

•
$$V(\Gamma) = 2A$$

▶ $t, s \in 2A$ are adjacent if and only if $ts \in 2A$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- \blacktriangleright We now consider the Monster graph $\Gamma,$ defined so that
 - ► V(Γ) = 2A
 - ▶ $t, s \in 2A$ are adjacent if and only if $ts \in 2A$
- In 1985, S. P. Norton published a paper addressing the possibility of proving the uniqueness of the Monster using the Monster graph

- We now consider the Monster graph Γ , defined so that
 - ► V(Γ) = 2A
 - ▶ $t, s \in 2A$ are adjacent if and only if $ts \in 2A$
- In 1985, S. P. Norton published a paper addressing the possibility of proving the uniqueness of the Monster using the Monster graph
- In particular, Norton studied triangle-point configurations in the graph:

- We now consider the Monster graph Γ , defined so that
 - ► V(Γ) = 2A
 - ▶ $t, s \in 2A$ are adjacent if and only if $ts \in 2A$
- In 1985, S. P. Norton published a paper addressing the possibility of proving the uniqueness of the Monster using the Monster graph
- In particular, Norton studied triangle-point configurations in the graph:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?

▶ The vertices {*a*, *b*, *c*, *ab*} of a triangle-point configuration must necessarily generate a triangle-point group, which we define to be a group satisfying the following property:

The vertices {a, b, c, ab} of a triangle-point configuration must necessarily generate a triangle-point group, which we define to be a group satisfying the following property:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Property (σ) A group G has property (σ) if it obeys each of the two following conditions

- ► The vertices {a, b, c, ab} of a triangle-point configuration must necessarily generate a triangle-point group, which we define to be a group satisfying the following property:
- Property (σ) A group G has property (σ) if it obeys each of the two following conditions
 - ► G is generated by three elements a, b and c of order dividing 2, such that ab is also of order dividing 2

- ► The vertices {a, b, c, ab} of a triangle-point configuration must necessarily generate a triangle-point group, which we define to be a group satisfying the following property:
- Property (σ) A group G has property (σ) if it obeys each of the two following conditions
 - ► G is generated by three elements a, b and c of order dividing 2, such that ab is also of order dividing 2
 - For any elements t, s ∈ X := a^G ∪ b^G ∪ c^G ∪ (ab)^G, the product ts has order at most 6.

- The vertices {a, b, c, ab} of a triangle-point configuration must necessarily generate a triangle-point group, which we define to be a group satisfying the following property:
- Property (σ) A group G has property (σ) if it obeys each of the two following conditions
 - ► G is generated by three elements a, b and c of order dividing 2, such that ab is also of order dividing 2
 - For any elements t, s ∈ X := a^G ∪ b^G ∪ c^G ∪ (ab)^G, the product ts has order at most 6.

Theorem (S. Decelle, 2012)

If G is a triangle-point group then it must occur as the quotient of one of eleven groups, all of which are finite.

<ロ>

Theorem (Norton, 1985 - proof unpublished)

There are exactly 27 pairwise non-isomorphic groups generated by triangle-point configurations in the Monster graph.

Theorem (Norton, 1985 - proof unpublished)

There are exactly 27 pairwise non-isomorphic groups generated by triangle-point configurations in the Monster graph.

Theorem (W. 2016)

There are at most 7 pairwise non-isomorphic triangle-point groups which admit a Majorana representation (G, T, V, ϕ, ψ) such that

$$a^G \cup b^G \cup c^G \cup (ab)^G \subseteq T.$$

but which do not occur as a triangle-point configurations in the Monster graph.